



ESB Asset Development UK Limited

Chleansaid Wind Farm

Environmental Impact Assessment Report
(Volume 2a – Chapter Figures)

662367



MARCH 2022

RSK

RSK GENERAL NOTES

Project No.: 662367
Title: Chleainsaid Wind Farm Environmental Impact Assessment Report
(Volume 2a – Chapter Figures)
Client: ESB Asset Development UK Limited
Date: March 2022
Office: Glasgow
Status: Final

RSK Environment Ltd (RSK) has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and RSK. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by RSK for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of RSK and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK Environment Ltd.

Table of Contents

Figure 1.1: Site Location Plan

Figure 2.1: Application Boundary Plan

Figure 2.2: Proposed Site Layout

Figure 2.3: Turbine Elevations

Figure 2.4: Indicative Turbine Foundations

Figure 2.5: Indicative Turbine Hardstandings

Figure 2.6: Indicative Access Tracks and Cable Runs

Figure 2.7a: Indicative Substation Compound

Figure 2.7b: Indicative Substation Layout Elevations

Figure 2.8: Indicative Substation Building

Figure 2.9: Indicative Control Building

Figure 2.10: Indicative Battery Storage Facility

Figure 2.11: Indicative Battery Storage Containers HVAC PCS

Figure 2.12: Indicative Wind Monitoring Mast and LiDAR Compounds

Figure 2.13: Indicative Construction Compound

Figure 2.14: Design Iterations

Figure 2.14b: Viewpoint 3: Saval, Lairg

Figure 2.14c: Viewpoint 4: Dalchork Bird Hide

Figure 2.14d: Viewpoint 5: The Ord above Ferrycroft Visitors Centre

Figure 2.14e: Viewpoint 6: A836 south of Lairg (Torroble)

Figure 2.14f: Viewpoint 8: Ben Klibreck, Meall nan Con

Figure 6.1.1: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Study Area

Figure 6.1.2a: Blade Tip Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility and Viewpoint Locations

Figure 6.1.2b: Blade Tip Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility and Viewpoint Locations (A1)

Figure 6.1.3a: Hub Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility and Viewpoint Locations

Figure 6.1.3b: Hub Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility and Viewpoint Locations (A1)

Figure 6.1.4a: Landscape Character Types

Figure 6.1.4b: Landscape Character Types with Blade Tip Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility

Figure 6.1.5a: Designated Landscapes and Wild Land Areas

Figure 6.1.5b: Designated Landscapes and Wild Land Areas with Blade Tip Height Zone of Theoretical Visibility

Figure 6.1.6: Operational, Consented and Proposed Wind Farms within 45 km and Included in the Cumulative Assessment

Figure 6.1.7a: South-east Wind Farm Group Comparative ZTV (Operational and Consented)

Figure 6.1.7b: South-east Wind Farm Group Comparative ZTV (Operational, Consented and Proposed)

Figure 6.1.8a: South-west Wind Farm Group Comparative ZTV (Operational, Consented and Proposed)

Figure 6.1.8b: South-west Wind Farm Group Comparative ZTV (Operational, Consented and Proposed)

Figure 6.1.9: Strath Tirry (Proposed)

Figure 6.1.10: Sallachy (Proposed)

Figure 6.1.11: Creag Riabhach (Under construction)

ESB Asset Development (UK) Ltd

Figure 6.1.12: Residential Visual Amenity Baseplan
 Figure 7.1: Heritage Assets within site boundary
 Figure 7.2: Designated Heritage Assets within 2km
 Figure 7.3: Designated Heritage Assets within 5km
 Figure 7.4: Designated Heritage Assets within 10km
 Figure 7.5: Designated Heritage Assets within 15km
 Figure 8.1: Ecological Statutory Designated Sites
 Figure 8.2: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2a: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2b: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2c: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2d: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2e: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.2f: Phase 1 Habitat Survey
 Figure 8.3: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3a: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3b: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3c: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3d: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3e: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.3f: NVC Survey Plan
 Figure 8.4: Desk Study Terrestrial Mammal Records
 Figure 8.5: Terrestrial Mammal Survey Results
 Figure 8.6: Fish Survey Plan
 Figure 8.7 Bat: Survey Plan
 Figure 9.1: Ornithological Statutory Designated Sites
 Figure 9.2: Existing Ornithological Records
 Figure 9.3: Vantage Point Flight Activity Survey Plan
 Figure 9.4: Breeding Bird Survey Plan
 Figure 9.5a: Target Species Flight Activity Year 1
 Figure 9.5b: Target Species Flight Activity Raptors and Owls Year 2
 Figure 9.5c: Target Species Flight Activity Other Species Year 2
 Figure 9.6a: Moorland Breeding Bird Survey Results Year 1
 Figure 9.6b: Moorland Breeding Bird Survey Results Year 2
 Figure 10.1a: Bedrock Geology Mapping
 Figure 10.1b: Superficial Geology Mapping
 Figure 10.2: Soil Peat Carbon Mapping
 Figure 10.3: Peat Depth Overview
 Figure 10.4: Hydrological Catchments
 Figure 10.5: PWS
 Figure 10.6: Design Iterations
 Figure 10.7: Water Quality Monitoring
 Figure 11.1: Predicted Maximum Noise Levels
 Figure 12.1: Traffic Study Area
 Figure 12.2: ATC Locations
 Figure 12.3: Accident Data

ESB Asset Development (UK) Ltd

Figure 12.4: Delivery Routes

Figure 14.1: Socio-Economic Receptors

Figure 15.1: Shadow Flicker Area – Worst Case Scenario

Figure 15.2: Shadow Flicker and Visual Receptors – Worst Case Scenario